

1 2 3	Gary A. Nye, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 126104) <gan@rpna: &="" (818)="" (cal.="" 210900)="" 250="" 5820="" 91367="" 992-9991<="" 992-9999;="" <drg="" adreani,="" avenue,="" bar="" california="" canoga="" david="" esq.="" fax:="" ginsburg,="" hills,="" llp="" no.="" nye="" pomerance,="" r.="" roxborough,="" suite="" tel:="" th="" woodland=""><th></th></gan@rpna:>	
5	Attorneys for Defendants/Counterclaimants	
6 7 8 9 10 11	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Kirk Manhardt John Siemietkowski <john.siemietkowski@usdoj.go (202)="" 20044-0875="" 514-3368;="" 514-9163="" 875="" <frances.mclaughlin@usdo="" attorneys="" box="" branch,="" civil="" commercial="" counter-defendants<="" d.c.="" division="" fax:="" for="" frances="" litigation="" m.="" mclaughlin="" p.o.="" plaintiff="" td="" tel:="" washington,=""><td></td></john.siemietkowski@usdoj.go>	
13	UNITED STATES DIST	TRICT COURT
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	A – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
15 16 17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Nos. C 11-5231 EMC C 11-5522 EMC C 11-4794 EMC
18 19 20 21	GONZALES & GONZALES BONDS AND INSURANCE AGENCY, INC. and AMERICAN SURETY COMPANY, INC., Defendants.	Assigned to the Honorable Edward M. Chen [PROPOSED] ORDER REMANDING BONDS TO DHS FOR RECONSIDERATION
22 23	GONZALES & GONZALES BONDS AND INSURANCE AGENCY, INC. and AMERICAN SURETY COMPANY, INC.	
24	Counterclaimants,	
25	vs.	
25 26	vs. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, et al.	
	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF	

ORDER REMANDING BONDS TO DHS

CASE NOS.: C 11-5231 EMC C 11-5522 EMC

C 11-4794 EMC

Case 3:11-cv-05522-EMC Document 21 Filed 02/01/16 Page 2 of 2

As set forth in the parties' January 7, 2016 Joint Case Management Statement (dkt. 275) and as explained at the January 14, 2016 hearing in Case No. C 09-4029 EMC, the Department of Homeland Security, Gonzales & Gonzales Bonds and Insurance Agency, Inc., and American Surety Company, Inc. seek to resolve the bond breach determinations in Case Nos. C 11-5231 EMC, C 11-5522 EMC, and C 11-4794 in accordance with this Court's orders in Case No. C 09-4029 EMC. For this reason, these matters are REMANDED for further consideration by the DISTRI agency. IT IS SO ORDERED 2/1/16 DATED: Judge Edward M. Chen ict Judge